<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/697,701	IKENAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	·
(1) <u>Quang N. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. David A. Spenard (Reg. No. 37,449).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4,5 and 12-15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached	. g) was not reached.	h) <u></u>
Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner proposed a into independent claims in order to advance the prosect</u>	n Examiner's Amendment	eed to if an agreement was to incorporate missing limitations
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where rallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has a ER OF ONE MONTH OR T INTERVIEW SUMMARY F	Iready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
•	•	
	· And	11 44 02
	7 4 7	J D
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Evaminer	's signature if required